

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS-6

CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. CV-13-8038-MWF (JEMx)

Date: November 13, 2013

Title: Xingian Huang, et al. -v- Jonathan R. Dominguez, et al.

Present: The Honorable MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD, U.S. District Judge

Deputy Clerk:
Rita Sanchez

Court Reporter:
Not Reported

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendant:
None Present

Proceedings (In Chambers): ORDER REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT [3]

On August 15, 2013, Plaintiffs Xingian Huang and Qi Wang filed a Complaint against Defendants Jonathan R. Dominguez and Maria Janet Flores in the Los Angeles Superior Court. (Docket No. 1-1). On October 31, 2013, Defendant Flores removed the action to this Court. (Docket No. 1). The jurisdictional allegations appeared to be defective and the Court *sua sponte* issued an Order to Show Cause re Jurisdiction, directing Defendant to respond no later than November 12, 2013. (Docket No. 3). Defendant failed to respond by this deadline.

Defendant's failure to respond to the Order to Show Cause by the appropriate deadline is grounds for this Court to remand this action to state court.

Furthermore, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over this action because the Complaint raises no substantial question of federal law. *See K2 Am. Corp. v. Rolland Oil & Gas, LLC*, 653 F.3d 1024, 1032 (9th Cir. 2011). Defendant asserts that 28 U.S.C § 1443(1) provides a basis for removability. That section renders removable any action "[a]gainst any person who is denied or cannot enforce in [state court] a right under any law providing for the equal civil rights of citizens of the United States, or of all persons within the [state]." 28 U.S.C § 1443(1). But Defendant presented no basis for her belief that California state courts will not allow her to present her federal civil rights defenses. *See City of Greenwood v. Peacock*, 384 U.S. 808, 825, 86 S. Ct. 1800, 16 L. Ed. 2d 944 (1966) ("It is not enough to support removal under [section] 1443(1)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS-6

CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. CV-13-8038-MWF (JEMx)

Date: November 13, 2013

Title: Xingian Huang, et al. -v- Jonathan R. Dominguez, et al.

to allege or show that the defendant's federal equal civil rights have been illegally and corruptly denied by state administrative officials in advance of trial . . . or that the defendant is unable to obtain a fair trial in a particular state court. . . . [T]he vindication of the defendant's federal rights is left to the state courts except in the rare situations where it can be *clearly predicted* by reason of the operation of a *pervasive and explicit state or federal law* that those rights will *inevitably* be denied by the very act of bringing the defendant to trial in the state court." (emphasis added) (citations omitted)).

Accordingly, the Court **REMANDS** the action to the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. The Court **ORDERS** the Clerk to treat this order, and its entry on the docket, as an entry of judgment. Local Rule 58-6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.